

## F - Contraction on Common Fixed Point Theorem in Complete $b$ - Metric Spaces

Sarika Saxena<sup>1</sup>, Abha Tenguria<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Research Scholar, Barkatullah University Institute of Technology, Bhopal (M.P.), India 462026.

<sup>2</sup> Prof. & Head of Mathematics in Govt. M. L. B. Girls P. G. College, Bhopal (M.P.), India 462001.

Received 29 April 2020; Accepted 13 May 2020

**Abstract:** In this paper, using the concept of F - contraction, we first establish a unique common fixed point theorem for two self mapping on complete b-metric spaces.

The results extend and generalize some results in the literature.

**Keywords:** Complete b- metric space, common fixed points, F-contractions.

### I. INTRODUCTION

In 1922, Polish mathematician Banach [5] proved a very important result regarding a contraction mapping, known as the Banach contraction principle. The Banach contraction principle is a popular tool in solving existence problems in many branches of mathematics, this result has been extended in many directions.

In 2012, Wardowski [20,21] introduced a new type of contractions called F-contraction and proved a new fixed point theorem concerning F-contraction.

In 1989, Bakhtin[6] introduced the notion of b metric space ,which was formally defined by Czerwik[12] in 1993 .Fixed point theorem for various contractions in b metric spaces were discussed in [3,4,7,8,16]. There are many authors who have worked on the generalization of fixed point theorems in b metric spaces for example [9,14,17,19].

In this paper we will apply Hardy-Rogers-type F-contraction mapping for two self mappings on complete b metric space.

The aim of this paper is to establish some new common fixed point theorems and generalize some of the results in the literature on F-contractions.

### II. PRELIMINARIES

We recall some basic known definitions and results which will be used in the sequel.

**Definition 2.1** [6,12]. Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and  $s \geq 1$  a real number. A function  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a b-metric if the following conditions are satisfied, for every  $x, y, x^* \in X$ :

(B1)  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$ ;

(B2)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ ;

(B3)  $d(x, y) \leq s [d(x, x^*) + d(x^*, y)]$ .

In this case  $(X, d)$  is called a b-metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$ .

**Example 2.2** [13].

There follow two other examples:

1. Let  $X = [0, 2]$  and  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be defined by

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} (x - y)^2 & x, y \in [0, 1] \\ \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{y^2}, & x, y \in [1, 2] \\ |x - y|, & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It can easily be seen that  $d$  is a b-metric on  $X$  and so,  $(X, d, s)$  is a b-metric space with  $s = 2$ .

2. Let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and define  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  as follows:

$d(n, n) = 0, n = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ;

$d(1, 2) = d(2, 1) = 2$ ;

$d(2, 3) = d(3, 2) = \frac{1}{2}$ ;  
 $d(1, 3) = d(3, 1) = 1$ ;  
 $d(1, 4) = d(4, 1) = \frac{3}{2}$   
 $d(2, 4) = d(4, 2) = d(3, 4) = d(4, 3) = 3$ .  
 Then  $d$  is a  $b$ -metric with  $s = 2$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** [16]

If  $(X, d)$  is a  $b$ -metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$ ,  $x^*, y^* \in X$  and  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a convergent sequence in  $X$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$  then

$$\frac{1}{s} d(x^*, y^*) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y^*) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, y^*) \leq s d(x^*, y^*).$$

**Definition 2.4.** [20, 21] A function  $F : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a map satisfying the following conditions:

(F1)  $F$  is strictly increasing;

(F2) For each sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of positive numbers  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$  if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\alpha_n) = -\infty.$$

(F3) There exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha^k F(\alpha) = 0$ .

For a metric space  $(X, d)$ , a mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be a Wardowski  $F$ -contraction if there exists  $\tau > 0$  such that  $d(Tx, Ty) > 0$  implies

$$\tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y)),$$

For all  $x, y \in X$ .

In 2015 Cosentino et al.[10] attempted to apply Wardowski's method in the context of  $b$  metric space, by using the following additional assumption ,

(F4) Let  $s \geq 1$ , be a real number .For each sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of positive numbers such that

$$\tau + F(s \alpha_n) \leq F(\alpha_{n-1}) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and some } \tau > 0 \text{ then}$$

$$\tau + F(s^n \alpha_n) \leq F(s^{n-1} \alpha_{n-1}) \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**Definition 2.5.** [16 ] Let  $(X, d)$  be a  $b$ -metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$ , and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  an operator. If there exist  $\tau > 0$  and  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{s, \tau}$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$  the inequality  $d(Tx, Ty) > 0$  Implies

$$(F) \quad \tau + F(s d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y)).$$

Then  $T$  is called an  $F$  contraction.

**Theorem 2.6** [16 ] If  $(X, d)$  is a complete  $b$ -metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$  and

$T : X \rightarrow X$  is an  $F$ -contraction for some  $F \in \mathcal{F}_{s, \tau}$  then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $x^*$ . Furthermore, for any  $x_0 \in X$  the sequence  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$  is convergent and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$ .

Convergent sequences and Cauchy sequences in  $b$  metric spaces, etc are defined in the same way as in metric spaces.

Motivated by these ideas, here we define a new type of  $F$  - contraction of Hardy -Rogers type for two self mappings in  $b$  metric space and prove a unique common fixed point theorem.

### III. MAIN RESULTS

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, D, s)$  be a  $b$  metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 \geq 0$  real number and  $S$  and  $T$  are self mappings on  $X$ . If there exists  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $d(Sx, Ty) > 0$  implies

$$\tau + F(s d(Sx, Ty)) \leq F(a_1 d(x, y) + a_2 d(x, Sx) + a_3 d(y, Ty) + a_4 d(x, Ty) + a_5 d(y, Sx)), \dots \quad (3.1)$$

Then  $T$  and  $S$  are called  $F$  - contraction of Hardy-Rogers-type.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(X, D, s)$  be a complete  $b$  metric space with constant  $s \geq 1$  and let  $T, S$  be are two self mappings on  $X$ . Assume that there exists  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\tau > 0$  such that  $T$  and  $S$  are  $F$  - contraction of Hardy-Rogers-type i.e.

$$\tau + F(s d(Sx, Ty)) \leq F(a_1 d(x, y) + a_2 d(x, Sx) + a_3 d(y, Ty) + a_4 d(x, Ty) + a_5 d(y, Sx)), \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ with } d(Sx, Ty) > 0,$$

if either  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + (s + 1) a_4 < 1, a_3 \neq 1, a_5 \geq 0$

or  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + (s + 1) a_5 < 1, a_3 \neq 1, a_4 \geq 0,$

Then  $T$  and  $S$  have common fixed point. Moreover if  $a_1 + a_4 + a_5 < s$  holds as well then the common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$  is unique.

**Proof:-** Let  $x_0 \in X$  be any point, which is arbitrary, and let,

$Sx_{2n} = x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1} = x_{2n+2}$ , for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Using the contractive condition (3.1) with  $x_1 = Sx_0$ , and  $x_2 = Tx_1$ ,  $d_n = (x_n, x_{n+1})$  when  $n = 0$ , we get,  $\tau + F(sd(x_1, x_2)) = \tau + F(sd(Sx_0, Tx_1))$

$$\leq F(a_1 d(x_0, x_1) + a_2 d(x_0, Sx_0) + a_3 d(x_1, Tx_1) + a_4 d(x_0, Tx_1) + a_5 d(x_1, Sx_0))$$

$$\leq F(a_1 d(x_0, x_1) + a_2 d(x_0, x_1) + a_3 d(x_1, x_2) + a_4 d(x_0, x_2) + a_5 d(x_1, x_1))$$

$$\leq F(a_1 d(x_0, x_1) + a_2 d(x_0, x_1) + a_3 d(x_1, x_2) + a_4 (sd(x_0, x_1) + sd(x_1, x_2)))$$

$$\leq F((a_1 + a_2 + sa_4) d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2) (a_3 + sa_4))$$

$$\tau + F(sd_1) \leq F((a_1 + a_2 + sa_4) d_0 + d_1 (a_3 + sa_4))$$

since  $F$  is strictly increasing, it follows that

$$sd_1 < (a_1 + a_2 + sa_4) d_0 + d_1 (a_3 + sa_4)$$

Thus for every  $n \geq n_0$  we have

$$s(1 - \frac{a_3}{s} - a_4) d_1 < (a_1 + a_2 + sa_4) d_1$$

In the case when  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + (s+1)a_4 < 1$  holds we obtain

$$(1 - \frac{a_3}{s} - a_4) \geq 1 - a_3 - a_4 > a_1 + a_2 + sa_4 \geq 0$$

In the other case when  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + (s+1)a_5 < 1$  holds, we obtain the same inequality by changing the sequence

And hence  $s d_1 < d_0$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we can now use inequality and write

$$F(sd_1) \leq F(d_0) - \tau \text{ for all } n \text{ in } \mathbb{N}$$

Similarly we get

$$\tau + F(sd(x_2, x_3)) \leq F(a_1 d(x_2, x_1) + a_2 d(x_2, Sx_2) + a_3 d(x_1, Tx_1) + a_4 d(x_2, Tx_1) + a_5 d(x_1, Sx_2))$$

$$\tau + F(sd(x_2, x_3)) \leq F(a_1 d(x_1, x_2) + a_2 d(x_2, x_3) + a_3 d(x_1, x_2) + a_4 d(x_2, x_2) + a_5 d(x_1, x_3))$$

$$\tau + F(sd(x_2, x_3)) = F(a_1 d(x_1, x_2) + a_2 d(x_2, x_3) + a_3 d(x_1, x_2) + a_5 \{d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x_3)\})$$

$$\tau + F(sd(x_2, x_3)) \leq F(a_1 d(x_1, x_2) + a_2 d(x_2, x_3) + a_3 d(x_1, x_2) + a_5 \{sd(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x_3)\})$$

$$\tau + F(sd(x_2, x_3)) \leq F((a_1 + a_3 + sa_5) d(x_1, x_2) + (a_2 + sa_5) d(x_2, x_3))$$

$$\tau + F(sd_2) \leq (a_1 + a_3 + sa_5) d_1 + (a_2 + sa_5) d_2$$

since  $F$  is strictly increasing, and again by the same argument, this follows that

$$s d_2 < (a_1 + a_3 + sa_5) d_1 + (a_2 + sa_5) d_2$$

$$s(1 - \frac{a_2}{s} - a_5) d_2 < (a_1 + a_3 + sa_5) d_1$$

$$s d_2 < d_1$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we can now use inequality and write

$$F(sd_2) \leq F(d_1) - \tau$$

Similarly we can find

$$F(sd_3) \leq F(d_2) - \tau$$

Continuing in this way we will have

$$F(sd_n) \leq F(d_{n-1}) - \tau \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

By condition F 4 we have

$$F(s^n d_n) \leq F(s^{n-1} d_{n-1}) - \tau \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and hence by induction}$$

$$F(s^n d_n) \leq F(s^{n-1} d_{n-1}) - \tau \leq \dots \leq F(d_0) - n\tau \quad \dots (3.2)$$

In the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(s^n d_n) = -\infty \text{ by F2}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (s^n d_n) = 0$$

From condition (F3), there exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (s^n d_n)^k F(s^n d_n) = 0$$

Multiplication of (3.2) with  $(s^n d_n)^k$  yields

$$0 \leq (s^n d_n)^k F(s^n d_n) + n (s^n d_n)^k \tau \leq (s^n d_n)^k F(d_0)$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (s^n d_n)^k = 0$$

Now, following the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [16], we can show that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, d, s)$  is complete, there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$

Applying Lemma 2.3, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x^*, x_n) = \limsup d(x^*, x_n) \leq sd(x^*, x^*) = 0.$$

It remains to show that  $x^*$  is a common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ . first we show that  $x^*$  is a fixed point of  $S$ .

Also, using (3.1), we have for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\tau + F(sd(Sx^*, x_{2n+2})) = \tau + F(sd(Sx^*, Tx_{2n+1})) \leq a_1 d(x^*, x_{2n+1}) + a_2 d(x^*, Sx^*) + a_3 d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + a_4 d(x^*, Tx_{2n+1}) + a_5 d(x_{2n+1}, Sx^*)$$

$$\leq F(a_1 d(x^*, x_{2n+1}) + a_2 d(x^*, Sx^*) + a_3 d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + a_4 d(x^*, x_{2n+2}) + a_5 d(x_{2n+1}, Sx^*))$$

Hence letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get ( since  $d(x^*, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ )

$$\tau + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(sd(x_{2n+2}^*, x_{2n+2})) \leq -\infty$$

This implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{2n+2}^*, x^*) = 0$$

This implies

$$d(x_{2n+2}^*, x^*) = 0$$

Thus we have,  $Sx^* = x^*.x^*$  is a fixed point of S. We also show that  $x^*$  is a fixed point of T. By proposition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + F(sd(x_{2n+1}, Tx^*)) &= \tau + F(sd(Sx_{2n}, Tx^*)) \\ &\leq F(a_1 d(x_{2n}, x^*)) + a_2 d(x_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) + a_3 d(x^*, Tx^*) + a_4 d(x_{2n}, Tx^*) + a_5 d(x^*, Sx_{2n}) \\ &\leq F(a_1 d(x_{2n}, x^*)) + a_2 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + a_3 d(x^*, Tx^*) + a_4 d(x_{2n}, Tx^*) + a_5 d(x^*, x_{2n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\tau + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(sd(x_{2n+1}, Tx^*)) \leq -\infty.$$

This implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{2n+1}, Tx^*) = 0.$$

$\Rightarrow d(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$ . Thus we have,  $Tx^* = x^*.x^*$  is a fixed point of T. Therefore  $x^*$  is a common fixed point of S, T.

To show the uniqueness of the fixed point, suppose that, u be another common fixed point of S, T

$$u = Su = Tu \text{ and } x^* = Tx^* = Sx^*$$

with  $x^* \neq u$ . Then from (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + F(sd(Su, Tx^*)) &\leq F(a_1 d(u, x^*) + a_2 d(u, Su) + a_3 d(x^*, Tx^*) + a_4 d(u, Tx^*) + a_5 d(x^*, Su)) \\ &\leq F(a_1 d(u, x^*) + a_4 d(u, Tx^*) + a_5 d(u, x^*)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq F((a_1 + a_4 + a_5) d(u, x^*))$$

This implies that

$$F(sd(u, x^*)) < F(d(u, x^*))$$

which is a contradiction. Thus S and T have a unique common fixed point. This proves the result.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. Ahmad et al. Fixed Point Theory and Application (2015) 2015:80.
- [2]. H.H. Alsulami, E. Karapinar, H. Piri, Fixed points of generalised F-Suzuki type contraction incomplete b-metric spaces, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, 2015, 8 pages.
- [3]. H. Aydi, M.F. Bota, E. Karapinar, S. Mitrovic, A fixed point theorem for set-valued quasi-contractions in b-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 88(2012), 8 pages.
- [4]. H. Aydi, M.F. Bota, E. Karapinar, S. Moradi, A common fixed point for weak  $\phi$ -contractions on b-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory, 13(2012), no. 2, 337-346.
- [5]. S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensemble abstraits et leur application aux equations integrales, Fundamenta Mathematicae 3(1922) 133-181.
- [6]. Bakhtin, I.A.: The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces. Funct. Anal. 30, 26–37 (1989).
- [7]. M.F. Boriceanu, M. Bota, A. Petrusel, Multivalued fractals in b-metric spaces, Cent. Eur. J.Math., 8(2010), no. 2, 367-377.
- [8]. C. Chifu, G. Petrusel, Fixed points for multivalued contractions in b-metric spaces with application to fractals, Taiwanese J. Math., 18(2014), no. 5, 1365-1375.
- [9]. L.B. Ćirić, A generalization of Banach's contraction principle, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 45(1974), 267-273.
- [10]. M. Cosentino, M. Jleli, B. Samet, C. Vetro, Solvability of integrodifferential problems via fixed point theory in b-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 70(2015), 15 pages.
- [11]. M. Cosentino, P. Vetro, Fixed point results for F-contractive mappings of Hardy-Rogers-type, Filomat, 28(2014), no. 4, 715-722.
- [12]. S. Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces, Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostraviensis, 1(1993), 5-11.
- [13]. Nilakshi Goswami, Nehjamang Haokip and Vishnu Narayan Mishra, F-contractive type mapping in B-metric spaces and some related fixed point results. Fixed Point theory and applications, 2019, 1-17.
- [14]. G.E. Hardy, T.D. Rogers, A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich, Canad. Math. Bull., 16(1973), no. 2, 201-206.
- [15]. W. Kirk, N. Shahzad, Fixed Point Theory in Distance Spaces, Springer International Publishing Switzerland, 2014.
- [16]. Lukacs, A., Kajanto, S.: Fixed point theorems for various types of F-contractions in complete b-metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory 19(1), 321–334 (2018).
- [17]. S. Reich, Some remarks concerning contraction mappings, Canad. Math. Bull., 14(1971), no. 1, 121-124.

- [18]. I.A. Rus, M.A. Serban, Basic problems of the metric fixed point theory and the relevance of a metric fixed point theorem, *Carpathian J. Math.*, 29(2013), no. 2, 239-258.
- [19]. T. Suzuki, A generalized Banach contraction principle that characterizes metric completeness, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 136(2008), 1861-1869.
- [20]. D. Wardowski, Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 94(2012), 6 pages.
- [21]. D. Wardowski, Fixed points of F-weak contractions on complete metric spaces, *Demonstration Math.*, 47(2014), no. 1, 146-155.

Sarika Saxena, et. al. "F - Contraction on Common Fixed Point Theorem in Complete b - Metric Spaces." *IOSR Journal of Engineering (IOSRJEN)*, 10(5), 2020, pp. 24-28.