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***Abstract*—Movie recommendation is designed to handle individual names using value parsing common filter and cosine similarity. A significant improvement involves the integration of elements into the corresponding component. The system provides users with a top N list as well as a display of the percentage of movies based on their favorite unrated movies. Look and accept. In today's age, the work of experts has changed our perspective on interesting things. Of particular importance is the OTT movie app's recommendations specifically designed for mobile users, which include user preferences, reviews and opinions that will help inform movie selection. Although accuracy and timeliness are important, the proposed system uses filtered data to predict user preferences. Recommendations are specifically designed to predict or filter interests based on user preferences and have become ubiquitous in apps, search engines, products, music and movies on OTT platforms. This work introduces a consensus-based video system based on collaborative filtering. This method uses information from customers, analyzes it and recommends the most suitable videos for that moment.**
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1. INTRODUCTION

Contemporary technology has transformed the landscape of data generation in terms of its volume, variety, and speed. The digitalization of everyday experiences has ushered in the era of big data. However, the substantial increase in data also brings about the challenge of information overload. Information overload is characterized by the overwhelming volume of data presented to an average individual, making it challenging for effective processing and decision-making. To address this issue, data mining methods come into play, aiding in the acquisition and processing of pertinent data. Among these methods, recommender systems stand out as a widely utilized tool.

Recommender systems function by analyzing available information regarding user behavior patterns and subsequently providing suggestions based on this data. These suggestions play a crucial role in helping users navigate through vast amounts of information to find what best suits their needs. The primary purpose of recommender systems is to simplify product or service searches, even when minimal information about the features is available. By amalgamating various factors, these systems assess correlations in patterns and user characteristics, ultimately determining optimal product recommendations for customers.

The development of recommender systems is contingent upon the specific application domain, with a prominent usage observed in e-commerce websites. In this context, these systems offer users product or service suggestions based on various parameters such as past search history, age, gender,

and other preferences. Job search platforms also leverage recommender systems to recommend suitable positions to candidates, aligning with their skills. The transition from a scarcity of data to the era of big data in various industries has resulted in an abundance of information, potentially causing delays in decision-making. Recommender systems are designed to streamline information searches on online platforms, providing users with a more convenient means to connect with their preferences.

Movie recommender systems play a crucial role in helping users navigate the vast amount of information available online, utilizing data mining techniques to match similarities and provide tailored suggestions. The effectiveness of these systems is influenced by criteria based on machine learning or deep learning algorithms, each with its own advantages and disadvantages. To address limitations, combining different algorithms is proposed to enhance recommendation efficiency.

This review aims to identify challenges encountered in the approval process and propose solutions that will improve their accuracy. Explores best practices, performance metrics, challenges and solutions. A qualitative literature review was conducted to examine the operational characteristics and operational models of various agreements. The authors aim to provide effective solutions to improve the performance of these systems to meet customer needs. The rest of this article is organized as follows: Part 2 explains the purchasing process. Chapter 3 discusses types of agreements. Section 4 focuses on popular learning techniques algorithms used in video recognition systems, and Section 5 details commonly used meta-heuristic algorithms. Chapter 6 discusses the criteria used for factual accuracy. Chapter 7 discusses basic problems with detailed instructions. Chapter 8 presents the main discussion, while Chapter 9 presents the results including the Boundaries of the study.

1. Critical review method

This section outlines the methodology employed to gather information for the literature review on movie. The information was sourced from peer-reviewed publications, utilizing databases such as Search Descriptors: Keywords employed in the search process included "Systems for suggesting movies," "personalized movie recommendations," "algorithms employed in recommending movies," "filtering methods in movie suggestion systems," and "measurement criteria and metrics for machine learning models."

Inclusion Criteria: Papers selected for inclusion were required to contain information about recommender systems, and this information had to originate from published peer- reviewed sources. The validity of the information was assessed by reading the paper abstracts, ensuring relevance to the study.

Exclusion Criteria: Papers that included literature on recommendation systems were excluded from consideration.

A summary of articles and the steps in the methodology is provided in Table 1 and Figure 1.

Figure 1. Steps in systematic review.



**Table 1.** criteria for including sources in this review are:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Item** | **Search Criteria** | **Number** **of Articles**  | **Selected Articles**  |
| Collaborative filtering,Content-based filtering, |
| Filteringtechnique | Filtering with context- based | 55 | 25 |
|  | *K*-means clustering | 25 | 13 |
|  | Principal Analysis of component  | 22 | 7 |
|  | PCA-Self Organizing Maps  | 19 | 12 |
| MovieRecommender System algorithm | Genetic Algorithms | 5 | 5 |
| Algorithms | Firefly | 10 | 9 |
|  | Artificial Bee swarm | 14 | 8 |
|  | Cuckoo Search | 5 | 4 |
|  | Wolf Optimizer | 3 | 3 |
| Measurement metrics | Mean error, true, true, return, time count, F1, log loss, mean square error | 23 | 9 |
| Recommender System Problems |  | 19 | 8 |
| Initial challenges, system scalability, diversified recommendations, precision, and data sparsity are key considerations in the realm of movie recommender systems.  |  |  |

1. Movie Recommendation Systems

Movie recommendation systems operate by filtering out irrelevant data including only information that shares similar characteristics or features. As previously mentioned, the world has transitioned from a data-scarce era to an era marked by

exponential data growth. These systems strategically manipulate data to ensure efficiency in driving data-driven decisions. Within the vast landscape of available product information, these systems play a crucial role in evaluating what aligns with a specific customer and what does not. Moreover, they extend their functionality into targeted marketing, enhancing product viewership increasing the likelihood of customer purchases.

Developers recognize the importance of creating systems with high-performance characteristics and efficiency in matching similarities to boost product sales or movie viewership. The primary filtering methods include context- based filtering.

* 1. Collaborative Filtering

Collaborative filtering operates by identifying similarities among items and users. It examines user characteristics and the characteristics of the users have previously engaged with or searched for. Movie recommender systems, for instance, base recommendations on user information and the viewing habits of individuals with similar demographic characteristics. Collaborative filtering considers factors such as age, gender, and ethnicity to propose movies that align with the preferences of users who share similar characteristics. However, collaborative filtering faces challenges, including a cold start when users provide limited or no information, leading to inaccurate clustering. Additionally, its accuracy is constrained by the assumption that people with similar demographic characteristics have identical preferences.

* 1. Content-Based Filtering

In contrast, content-based filtering utilizes user and item feature vectors to provide recommendations. Unlike collaborative filtering, content-based systems recommend items based on content features, requiring no data about other users. These systems cater to niche items and specific user preferences. Content-based filtering suggests movies to users based on the content within the films, acknowledging that clustering in collaborative filtering may not accurately reflect user preferences. Taste variations among individuals with similar demographic characteristics prompt content-based algorithms to offer recommendations based on movie content such as key characters and genre.

* 1. Context-Based Filtering

An advancement of collaborative filtering, context-based filtering assumes that individuals who share the same view on one issue are likely to share similar opinions or preferences on another. For example, if two persons are viewing to action movies on one platform, they are likely to enjoy action movies on another. Even in different contexts, extending its applications. Context-based filtering can adapt to various conditions, and in the case of movie recommender systems, it may consider data from previous contexts to make relevant suggestion.

* 1. Hybrid Filtering

Hybrid filtering integrates concepts from collaborative filtering, content-based filtering, and context-based filtering to overcome the limitations of each method. This approach combines user behavior data and content data, achieving superior performance and faster computational times. content- based filtering may lack insights into user preferences, a hybrid approach addresses these challenges by leveraging multiple data sources to generate recommendations.

1. Where Films Find You Machine Leaning Algorithms for Movie Recommendation Systems

These algorithms play an important role in data filtering and data mining to achieve the desired results. It is necessary to understand how data filtering works in order to be able to choose the appropriate algorithm for a particular task in the optimal view.

* 1. K-Means Clustering

K-Means clustering is a straightforward collaborative filtering approach that categorizes users based on their interests. Analogous to seeking opinions from someone who has already purchased a product, this algorithm assesses the influence of current owners on potential new owners by comparing shared interests. The algorithm identifies common interests among users, such as age, gender, movie time, and the history of previously watched movies, aiming to group these features into clusters representing distinct characteristics.

If, for instance, clustering is based on age, K-Means will categorize users into clusters such as children, teens, youth, and adults. Movie recommendations are then tailored based on the preferences of others within the same age group. The classification recommendation improves as the user's age approaches the centroid age, involving steps such as measuring similarity, neighbor selection, prediction computation, and suggestion.

* + 1. Measurement of Similarities

The initial step involves determining the similarity in user features between the new user and previous system users. Common features for assessing similarities include age, previous history, geographical locations, and additional movie theater-related factors like price and movie schedules. Similarity calculations may be item-based or user-based, depending on whether they are centered on movie features or user demographics.

The mathematical equation for computing similarities between items or users represented as follows:



This equation evaluates the correlation between the user and the item, gauging the proximity of the value to the centroid value.

* + 1. Selection of Neighbors

The algorithm considers key metrics such as accuracy and running time. While a larger number of neighbors enhances accuracy, it also increases computational time. Balancing this trade-off can be achieved through threshold-based or top-N techniques. The threshold technique limits the assessment to a specific number of neighbors, predicting when that threshold is reached. In contrast, the top-N technique only evaluates the top N similarities for suggestions, optimizing computational efficiency .

* + 1. Prediction Computation

Prediction computation involves utilizing the closest neighbors found in the system database. The prediction is calculated using the formula:



4.1.4Limitations of K-Means Clustering

Cold-Start Problem: The cold-start problem arises with new users in the system, where limited information is available, making predictions challenging until users provide correlated data. This impacts system accuracy, particularly for new users who may not receive recommendations for new and excellent movies until they provide sufficient information.

Sparsity in the Dataset: The recommendation system grapples with sparsity in the movie database. Additionally, users may not rate the movies they watch, making it difficulty for the system to determine user preferences. This make some of the best movies remaining unrecommended in the vast dataset, particularly if users have not rated them. The threshold/top-N techniques can further exclude some of the best-matching suggestions.

Scalability: Balancing computational time and system accuracy poses a challenge, especially as the number of users and movies increases. While K-means is accurate for smaller databases, scalability becomes an issue with a larger number of users and movies, leading to increased computational time. Offline computation and training are implemented to address this challenge and facilitate efficient recommendations when the system is online again.

The K-means filtering algorithm serves as a fundamental collaborative filtering technique, laying the groundwork for the development of other filtering concepts. While the computation technique for predictions may differ, the mode of operation mirrors the K-means nearest neighbor. Subsequent algorithms are designed to address the limitations of K-means clustering.

* 1. Principal Component Analysis K-Means

This content-based movie filtering technique enhances the K-means clustering approach by utilizing major components in movies for classification before making recommendations. Unlike K-means, which calculates closeness using distances from the mean point, PCA employs a covariance matrix to calculate eigenvectors and eigenvalues, broadening scalability for improved comparisons in movie suggestions .

To illustrate, while K-means may compute the similarity of one feature at a time, PCA creates covariance matrix, increasing scalability and computational efficiency. Similarities with the help of matrix , easily identified, and their eigenvectors are computed, allowing for precise movie recommendations.

Table 2. Structure of the tuples.

Tuple Tuple Structure

1. ri × ui
2. ri × ii
3. ri × ui × ii

The procedure involves the following steps:

Calculation of the Covariance Matrix:The size of the data, as structured in the preceding step, is used to compute a covariance matrix.

Calculation of the Eigenvectors and Eigenvalues:The computed covariance matrix, now a square matrix aligned with the data dimension, is employed to calculate eigenvectors and eigenvalues that characterize the data. These calculated eigenvectors are then organized in descending order based on their corresponding eigenvalues, leading to make a feature vector.

* 1. Principal Component Analysis Self-Organizing Maps (PCA-SOM)

The technique of Self-Organizing Maps (SOMs) is grounded in neural networks, representing an unsupervised learning approach that operates autonomously without human intervention during the learning phase. This method plays a crucial role in clustering data, even in the lack of prior knowledge regarding class memberships within the input data. The Self-Organizing Feature Map (SOFM) is particularly adept at identifying inherent features in specific items, a quality highly relevant to the intricacies of movie recommender systems. SOM employs topology-preserving mapping, ensuring that the algorithm retains the relative distances between all points in the initial dataset. This preservation facilitates the effective transformation of arbitrary dimensions into a 1D or 2D discrete map.

The integration of PCA with SOM enhances the process, leveraging PCA's ability to seamlessly convert the matrices generated by SOM into eigenvectors and eigenvalues, allowing for their prioritized ranking based on significance.

The procedural steps for implementing PCA-SOM are outlined below:

1. Data Acquisition without Rankings or Classifications: Acquire data devoid of any pre-existing rankings or classifications.
2. Data Modeling: Perform the necessary modeling of the acquired data.
3. SOM Classification: Utilize SOM in an unsupervised learning manner to categorize the data based on similarities in features.
4. PCA Analysis: PCA takes the reins post the classification achieved by SOM. It examines the principal components and offers additional classifications for the dataset.
	* 1. Advantages

Given its foundation in unsupervised learning, the PCA- SOM model exhibits automatic updates to its features and functions, showcasing inherent flexibility . This adaptability to new inputs makes it well-suited for scenarios involving unidentified elements, such as unrated movies or new users lacking existing data. The system can effectively recommend new movies by extracting their features, and new users encounter a smooth introduction to the output feature map, avoiding the challenges of a cold start. Furthermore, its computational efficiency is noteworthy, as it adeptly organizes intricate data, providing a well-represented mapping for easy interpretation.

* + 1. Disadvantages

A notable drawback lies in the potential misalignment of feature classification with expected output, necessitating frequent initialization of unsupervised learning classification algorithms to sustain the relevance of clustering.

1. Metaheuristic Algorithms for Movie Recommendation Systems

Metaheuristic algorithms, which are high-level heuristics developed for optimization problems, find widespread application across various domains, including design optimization, process optimization, structural optimization, and more.

* 1. Genetic Algorithm

A hybrid filtering algorithm that integrates improved K- means clustering with genetic algorithms (GA) for movie recommendations. The PCA technique is employed to reduce high-dimensional space complexity before utilizing GA-KM for classification.

* + 1. Data Preprocessing Using PCA

Initial data processing involves extracting the initial data from the original high-dimensional space into a linearly reduced space with denser features using PCA. The relevant

components, ranked by significance, are selected for further processing by the GA-KM algorithm.

* + 1. Enhanced K-Means Clustering Optimization by Generic Algorithms (GA-KM)

This step aims to group users/neighbours with similar interests or features through two stages: K-means clustering and GA algorithms.

* + 1. K-Means Clustering

As discussed earlier, K-means clustering centers its clusters around centroids on the basis of linear distance, determining similarity indexes. This process helps in classifying users with similar interests.

* + 1. Genetic Algorithm

Mimicking biological evolution, the GA algorithm employs chromosomes as individuals, each representing a potential solution. Natural selection, crossover, and mutation iterations enhance genetic diversity, preventing premature convergence in K-means clustering. The fitness function evaluates the solution's quality.

* 1. Firefly Algorithm

Inspired by fireflies, this algorithm combines with a fuzzy C-means clustering technique for movie recommendations. In this natural model, fireflies are attracted to the brightest one, mirroring the algorithm's focus on features with the highest user ratings.

The algorithm for the recommender system involves all fireflies being unisexual and pulling towards each other based on their brightness (feature reduction). This ensures that subsequent movie recommendations center around features rated highest by users with similar characteristics.

These algorithms exhibit promising performance, particularly in mitigating the cold-start problem, as demonstrated with the MovieLens dataset.

* 1. Cuckoo Search

The cuckoo search algorithm integrates K-means clustering with Levy's flight function to enhance the MovieLens Dataset's division into distinct clusters. Randomly chosen centroids are employed in the K-means algorithm to initiate the clustering process, utilizing metrics like Euclidean distance and cosines to determine proximity between centroids. Features and/or users are then reassigned to the nearest cluster with similar characteristics.

The inspiration for the cuckoo search algorithm stems from the Demeanor of cuckoo birds, which seek optimal conditions for nest placement without sitting on eggs. Instead, they lay eggs for host birds to incubate.

1. Problems tied with Movie Recommender Systems
	1. Cold Start

Optimal targeting for movie recommendations relies on old users and benifits of products they've viewed. However, a challenge arises when a user is new or lacks any known information, such as when using a different device. This "cold-start" problem hinders the recommender system from making suggestions. Solutions involve content-based filtering, context-based filtering, and hybrid filtering. Content-based filtering classifies movies by features, while context-based filtering uses user information from devices to correlate with similar contexts. Hybrid filtering combines content, context, and user characteristics to address the cold-start issue.

* 1. Accuracy

The accuracy of recommender systems is mold by the database size. A smaller database tends to yield higher accuracy, but larger databases may compromise accuracy. The K-means algorithm mitigates this by limiting computations or selecting a top-N number of movies. Algorithms employing sophisticated search criteria and combining multiple algorithms enhance accuracy. Some, like PCA-SOM, perform logarithmic computations offline, reducing computational time and increasing accuracy. Modern systems incorporate user input through a dialog box to refine recommendations.

* 1. Diversity

New and unrated movies often struggle to appear in recommendations, limiting their visibility and ratings. To address this, recommender systems introduce diversity, prioritizing new or unrated movies to increase user awareness. By diversifying recommendations, the system encourages users to rate and watch these movies, aiding subsequent decision-making and classification based on features or user characteristics.

* 1. Scalability

Scalability aims to balance accuracy and computational time. Precomputing some classification steps beforehand enhances efficiency. By addressing scalability, recommender systems provide almost immediate recommendations when users make selections, ensuring high efficiency.

* 1. Sparsity

Sparsity in movie data occurs when users utilize only a fraction of available features. K-means clustering may contribute to sparsity by linearly interpolating data, leading to biased recommendations. Techniques such as the top-N theory or methods like PCA-SOM and WOA consider sparsity, providing more diverse and efficient recommendations by mapping features comprehensively.

In summary, addressing these challenges enhances the effectiveness and user satisfaction of movie recommender systems.

1. Discussions

Current movie recommendation systems face the challenge of navigating vast amounts of data for accurate and precise recommendations, especially given the diversity in user and context information. Unlike the MovieLens dataset, which predates the widespread use of social media for sharing movie-related information, contemporary technologies leverage social platforms for user engagement and interest generation. Some companies employ analytics in recommender algorithms, encouraging users to connect social media accounts for a more comprehensive understanding of user preferences. This not only aids in reducing the cold-start problem but also allows for more personalized recommendations.

Context-based filtering is emerging as a main strategy in movie recommender systems. Similar techniques have proven successful in e-commerce, recommending products based on specific contexts such as seasonal discounts. Integrating time stamps into movie recommendations could enhance the system further. For instance, recommending educational movies during the day and calming lullabies at night for children provides a more tailored experience.

Advancements in blockchain technology introduce new considerations for recommender algorithms. While blockchain enhances user privacy through data encryption, collaborative filtering relies on user information for accurate recommendations. Concealing user information within blockchain systems necessitates innovative approaches, potentially involving advanced methods such as context and content-based filtering to maintain recommendation accuracy. The evolving landscape of technology requires ongoing exploration and adaptation in movie recommender system development.

1. Conclusions

This article comprehensively explores and categorizes movie recommender systems, delving into various types and discussing machine learning and metaheuristic algorithms in movie recommendation research. The detailed examination includes a thorough discussion of model metrics that serve as indicators of model quality. The article systematically outlines and discusses the challenges associated with movie recommender systems. The study incorporates a total of 77 articles focused exclusively on movie recommender systems, presenting their key conclusions. Additionally, 32 related articles on metaheuristics and recommender systems (outside the movie domain) are introduced across different sections, contributing to a coherent and insightful review. It is important to note that the study's limitation lies in the indirect use of Scopus.
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